

## Opium Tutorial 4: Titanium

**Goal:** The purpose of this tutorial is to demonstrate the construction of a pseudopotential with semicore states.

In many atoms, especially towards the left of the periodic table, there are significant interactions between the valence and near-valence core states. These high-lying core states are often referred to as *semicore* states. Significant errors will occur in the target calculation if these orbitals are simply incorporated into the pseudopotential core. Therefore, it is necessary to explicitly include these states as part of the valence.

We will now demonstrate how to construct a semicore pseudopotential. Let's begin with the following param file:

```
[Atom]
Ti
8
100 2.00 -
200 2.00 -
210 6.00 -
300 2.00 -
310 6.00 -
320 0.00 -
400 0.00 -
410 0.00 -

[Pseudo]
5 1.7 1.6 1.9 1.7 1.6
opt

[XC]
lda

[Optinfo]
7.0 10
7.5 10
7.8 10
7.0 10
7.5 10

[Configs]
4
#
300 2.00 -
310 6.00 -
320 2.00 -
400 2.00 -
410 0.00 -
#
300 2.00 -
310 6.00 -
320 2.00 -
400 1.00 -
410 0.00 -
#
300 2.00 -
310 6.00 -
320 2.00 -
400 0.00 -
410 0.00 -
#
300 2.00 -
310 6.00 -
320 1.00 -
400 0.00 -
```

410 0.00 -

Here we have specified five valence states:  $3s, 3p, 3d, 4s$ , and  $4p$ . Unlike other potentials, there are two  $s$  and two  $p$  states. The  $3s$  and  $3p$  are the semicore orbitals, the rest are valence.

We will construct one pseudopotential for each angular momentum *type*, not for each valence orbital. Currently, OPIUM's functionality is limited to what is termed *single* projector pseudopotentials. Constructing multiple projectors per angular momentum type can be done, but the target solid-state program must allow for this type of pseudopotential; not all do.

To clarify, the  $4s$  and  $4p$  orbitals are not used when constructing the pseudopotential. This is why these orbitals have no population in the reference state. The inclusion of these orbitals allows them to be considered in the transferability tests. Just as in the solid-state code, the  $4s$  and  $4p$  states will use the  $3s$  and  $3p$  potentials for transferability testing.

We have specified five cutoff radii as well as five entries in the [Optinfo] keyblock even though only three (one for  $s$ ,  $p$ , and  $d$ ) potentials will be constructed. These extra two entries are used for plotting and other output features. To reduce confusion, just keep the entries for a particular  $l$  value the same (notice that the reference state defines the order of the rest of the keyblocks).

Let's run this param file to see if we can continue onto transferability testing:

```
%> ./opium ti ti.log ae ps nl rpt
%> cat ti.rpt
.
.
.
### PS report #####

=====Optimized pseudopotential method=====

                Pseudopotential convergence error
Orbital         [mRy/e]      [meV/e]      [mRy]      [meV]      Ghost
-----
    300         0.014566     0.198176     0.029131     0.396352     no
    310         0.009667     0.131528     0.058003     0.789168     no
    320         0.018412     0.250510     0.000000     0.000000     no

                Tot. error =                0.087134      1.185520

### NL report #####

NL:Orbital      Filling      Eigenvalues [Ry]      Norm      Ghost
-----
    100          2.000      -8.2870763204        0.0414433365     no
    210          6.000      -6.5662526201        0.0943408885     no
    320          0.000      -3.8784059829        0.0879573998     no
    200          0.000      -2.9378838544        0.7387697202     no
    310          0.000      -2.3207879291        0.8687177944     no

                ===== No ghosts in potential!!=====

E_tot =      -109.3857318047 Ry
.
.
.
```

As we see, the potential is well converged for the given cutoff wavevectors and there are no ghosts at all. Let's move onto the transferability testing:

```
%> ./opium ti ti.log ae ps nl tc rpt
%> grep AE-NL ti.rpt
```

AE-NL:Orbital Filling		Eigenvalues [mRy]	Norm[1e-3]
-----			
AE-NL- 100	2.000	-57.9266724784	-0.2337222836
AE-NL- 210	6.000	-52.2842382626	-0.0115419383
AE-NL- 320	2.000	-26.4340328700	8.6274515531
AE-NL- 200	2.000	15.1027002621	29.6167845983
AE-NL- 310	0.000	-13.3867989655	2.4042003989
AE-NL- total error =		165.1344428385	40.8937007721
AE-NL:Orbital Filling		Eigenvalues [mRy]	Norm[1e-3]
-----			
AE-NL- 100	2.000	-25.6395070140	0.1101722815
AE-NL- 210	6.000	-21.7153937207	0.7725263942
AE-NL- 320	2.000	-0.0256058946	14.2604867865
AE-NL- 200	1.000	39.5827899427	39.0560422065
AE-NL- 310	0.000	-4.9784019867	6.7445401387
AE-NL- total error =		91.9416985587	60.9437678073
AE-NL:Orbital Filling		Eigenvalues [mRy]	Norm[1e-3]
-----			
AE-NL- 100	2.000	27.5397990237	0.8249290981
AE-NL- 210	6.000	28.0810962346	2.3654124477
AE-NL- 320	2.000	43.3855119642	18.6077250860
AE-NL- 200	0.000	81.3677820294	52.7043374015
AE-NL- 310	0.000	17.1488582095	11.8748045916
AE-NL- total error =		197.5230474615	86.3772086249
AE-NL:Orbital Filling		Eigenvalues [mRy]	Norm[1e-3]
-----			
AE-NL- 100	2.000	30.2278000049	0.5877158002
AE-NL- 210	6.000	30.8743404960	1.6243663443
AE-NL- 320	1.000	44.3311725724	8.1294589295
AE-NL- 200	0.000	148.0416011340	79.1457976352
AE-NL- 310	0.000	46.7399072857	21.6667024658
AE-NL- total error =		300.2148214930	111.1540411750
AE-NL- i j		DD [mRy]	DD [meV]
-----			
AE-NL- 0	1	-157.894305	-2148.262545
AE-NL- 0	2	-131.483983	-1788.931632
AE-NL- 0	3	-73.502902	-1000.058437
AE-NL- 0	4	-26.474957	-360.210323
AE-NL- 1	2	26.410322	359.330913
AE-NL- 1	3	84.391403	1148.204109
AE-NL- 1	4	131.419348	1788.052223
AE-NL- 2	3	57.981081	788.873196
AE-NL- 2	4	105.009026	1428.721309
AE-NL- 3	4	47.027945	639.848114

The transferability tests considered here cover a range of 0 to +3 oxidation states, with the reference state being +4. An ionic reference state is *necessary* since the 4s and 4p states must be empty in the reference configuration.

The transferability is quite dissappointing. Let's try pulling in the cutoff radii.

[Atom]

Ti

8

100 2.00 -

200 2.00 -

210 6.00 -

300 2.00 -

```

310 6.00 -
320 0.00 -
400 0.00 -
410 0.00 -

```

```

[Pseudo]
5 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3
opt

```

```

[XC]
lda

```

```

[Optinfo]
7.0 10
7.5 10
7.8 10
7.0 10
7.5 10
.
.
.

```

Again, we run the first section to check for ghosts and q-space convergence:

```

%> ./opium ti ti.log ae ps nl rpt
%> cat ti.rpt

```

```

.
.
.
### PS report #####
=====Optimized pseudopotential method=====

```

Orbital	Pseudopotential convergence error				Ghost
	[mRy/e]	[meV/e]	[mRy]	[meV]	
300	0.735787	10.010899	1.471574	20.021799	no
310	0.027017	0.367589	0.162104	2.205537	no
320	14.397956	195.894267	0.000000	0.000000	no
Tot. error =			1.633678	22.227336	

```

### NL report #####

```

NL:Orbital	Filling	Eigenvalues [Ry]	Norm	Ghost
100	2.000	-8.2870763205	0.1863245872	no
210	6.000	-6.5662526202	0.2353338125	no
320	0.000	-3.8784059830	0.3323409071	no
200	0.000	-2.8110650044	0.8812522328	no
310	0.000	-2.2765746078	0.9043194766	no

```

===== No ghosts in potential!!=====
E_tot = -109.1470757437 Ry
.
.
.

```

Again, there are no ghosts. The convergence looks good, but it is a little misleading. The first two columns of errors

in the PS report is the convergence error *per electron* the next two are absolute convergence errors. Therefore, the last two columns are weighted by the occupation of the reference state. So, as long as the *d*-occupation is strictly zero in the target calculation, the pseudopotential may do quite well with respect to convergence. However, any significant *d*-character will be unconverged at the estimated energy cutoff (61 Ry).

Because of the *d*-state convergence, we must either pull out the cutoff radius or increase the cutoff wavevector. Let's try pulling the *d* cutoff radius back out to 1.5 a.u. and check the convergence and the transferability:

```
%> ./opium ti ti.log ae ps nl tc rpt
%> cat ti.rpt
```

```
[Atom]
```

```
Ti
```

```
8
```

```
100 2.00 -
```

```
200 2.00 -
```

```
210 6.00 -
```

```
300 2.00 -
```

```
310 6.00 -
```

```
320 0.00 -
```

```
400 0.00 -
```

```
410 0.00 -
```

```
[Pseudo]
```

```
5 1.3 1.3 1.5 1.3 1.3
```

```
opt
```

```
.
```

```
.
```

```
.
```

```
.
```

```
.
```

```
.
```

```
.
```

```
.
```

```
### PS report #####
```

```
=====Optimized pseudopotential method=====
```

Orbital	Pseudopotential convergence error				Ghost
	[mRy/e]	[meV/e]	[mRy]	[meV]	
300	0.735787	10.010899	1.471574	20.021799	no
310	0.027017	0.367589	0.162104	2.205537	no
320	1.916903	26.080804	0.000000	0.000000	no
Tot. error =			1.633678	22.227336	

```
### NL report #####
```

NL:Orbital	Filling	Eigenvalues [Ry]	Norm	Ghost
100	2.000	-8.2870763203	0.1863245871	no
210	6.000	-6.5662526201	0.2353338125	no
320	0.000	-3.8784059828	0.2242218457	no
200	0.000	-2.8110650044	0.8812522328	no
310	0.000	-2.2765746077	0.9043194766	no

```
===== No ghosts in potential!!=====
```

```
E_tot = -109.1470757449 Ry
```

```

.
.
%> grep AE-NL ti.rpt
.
.

```

```

AE-NL:Orbital Filling      Eigenvalues [mRy]      Norm[1e-3]
AE-NL- -----
AE-NL- 100      2.000      -24.7244298191      -0.1495660753
AE-NL- 210      6.000      -23.0161219713      0.3511027409
AE-NL- 320      2.000      -9.4245259552      9.0408510715
AE-NL- 200      2.000      5.5851171878      8.6187475392
AE-NL- 310      0.000      -5.8114572216      1.0370541139
AE-NL- total error =      68.5616521549      19.1973215408
AE-NL:Orbital Filling      Eigenvalues [mRy]      Norm[1e-3]
AE-NL- -----
AE-NL- 100      2.000      -11.2205015171      0.1503201208
AE-NL- 210      6.000      -10.1367218648      0.8111021861
AE-NL- 320      2.000      2.0207343089      11.4303655192
AE-NL- 200      1.000      15.3860880889      11.2619929252
AE-NL- 310      0.000      -2.4945207785      2.7473131360
AE-NL- total error =      41.2585665582      26.4010938873
AE-NL:Orbital Filling      Eigenvalues [mRy]      Norm[1e-3]
AE-NL- -----
AE-NL- 100      2.000      11.3650120939      0.7781255405
AE-NL- 210      6.000      11.2088392994      1.7610572012
AE-NL- 320      2.000      21.1532184971      13.9555742514
AE-NL- 200      0.000      32.0592951281      14.9981107470
AE-NL- 310      0.000      6.1742508854      4.6961382631
AE-NL- total error =      81.9606159039      36.1890060032
AE-NL:Orbital Filling      Eigenvalues [mRy]      Norm[1e-3]
AE-NL- -----
AE-NL- 100      2.000      15.3070242896      0.6687820200
AE-NL- 210      6.000      15.3141640096      1.3752676394
AE-NL- 320      1.000      23.9941052750      7.6638939553
AE-NL- 200      0.000      62.9879355577      23.1727245012
AE-NL- 310      0.000      20.1828734229      8.5532727132
AE-NL- total error =      137.7861025548      41.4339408292
AE-NL-  i  j      DD [mRy]      DD [meV]
AE-NL- -----
AE-NL-  0  1      -71.869310      -977.832270
AE-NL-  0  2      -61.761760      -840.311982
AE-NL-  0  3      -39.010837      -530.769739
AE-NL-  0  4      -14.745177      -200.618449
AE-NL-  1  2      10.107550      137.520288
AE-NL-  1  3      32.858473      447.062531
AE-NL-  1  4      57.124133      777.213821
AE-NL-  2  3      22.750924      309.542242
AE-NL-  2  4      47.016584      639.693533
AE-NL-  3  4      24.265660      330.151290
.
.
.

```

We could continue the process of pulling decreasing the cutoff radii and increasing the cutoff wavevectors and obtain an even more accurate pseudopotential, at the cost of a harder pseudopotential. However, better results can be obtained by invoking the designed non-local approach [1] which will be left to a later tutorial.

---

[1] N. J. Ramer and A. M. Rappe, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 12471 (1999).